## **DataMap** Finalizing Student Learning Objectives

After a Student Learning Objective (SLO) is scored, you can review the student scores and the teacher effectiveness rating for the objective before reporting the rating to the state and finalizing the objective.

If you want to first exclude students whose scores should not be included in the rating calculation for the SLO, see the *Excluding Students from a Student Learning Objective* instructional sheet.

**Note:** SLOs can be finalized only by users who have been assigned the DataMap - Student Objective Admin role in StudentInformation.

## Navigation: DataMap > Student Objectives

On the **Student Objectives** screen, in the row of the scored objective you want to review and finalize, hover your cursor until icons display beside the objective name. Then, click **S**.

Name	Process Status -	Owner	Subject Area	Rating	Student Count	Excluded Count	
5. Teacher - Language/Arts - Scored	Scored	Jones, Robert	Reading	4	15	1	-
5. Teacher - Language/Arts - Scored	Scored	Williams, Patricia	Reading	4	15	1	
5. Teacher - Language/Arts - Scored	Scored	Brown, Linda	Reading	4	15	1	

The Edit Student Objective screen General tab displays.

On the Edit Student Objective screen Students / Scoring tab, review the following information:

- Individual student scores (baseline scores, growth targets, and final scores)
- Students who met their growth target (indicated by a checkmark in the Meets/Exceeds Target column)
- **Rating** Displays the teacher effectiveness rating (from 1-5) for this objective based on the percentage of students who met or exceeded their growth target as prescribed by ODE's rating system

			Asse	ssment MAP - Vo	cabulary Acquisitio				15 Records 📑	. 🕂	
Name *	Grade	Tier	Bi Score	aseline Test Date	Growth Target	Score	Final Test Date	Meets/Exceeds Target	Excluded Reason	Rating 4	
Arnold, Guiliana	05	Tier 2 - Fixed Point Gr wth	241	8/30/2019	256	266	4/28/2020	~	Â	Meets/Excee	ds (%)
Berry, Isabele	05	Tier 2 2 crowth	201	8/30/2019	216	199	4/28/2020		Exclude due to attendance	86	
Carroll, Jase	05	Tier 1 - Fixed Percentage Growth	212	8/30/2019	233.2	235	4/28/2020	√		Meets/ Exceeds (%) Rating 90-100 5 (Most 8	ffective)
Cunningham, Wesley	05	Tier 4 - Halfway to 100	218	8/30/2019	259	260	4/28/2020	~			Average)
Duncan, Orenda	05	Tier 4 - Halfway to 100	228	8/30/2019	264	275	4/28/2020	√		70-79 3 (Avera) 60-69 2 (Appro	je) aching Averag
Hart, Tori	05	Tier 1 - Fixed Percentage Growth	178	8/30/2019	195.8	201	4/28/2020	~		0-59 1 (Least	
~~~~~~					1		~~~~		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		~~~~
<b>lote:</b> To view ra lick 🔲.	ting (	details only,		Baselin	e scores,		Meets	/Exceeds	Target	ODE's ra	U
			Ę	growth t	argets, and	I		column		syste	m
lote: Excluded s	tuaei	its' scores are		final	scores						

1

General Students / Scori	ng Tiers									5. Teacher - La	nguage/Arts -	Scored (Johnson, Jo Status: Sco
					Assessmen	_	bulary Acquisitio			3		
Name 🔺	Grade	Tier	B Score	laseline Test Date	Growth Target	Score	Final Test Date	Meets/Excee Target	Excluded Reason			Rating I 4
Arnold, Guiliana	05	Tier 2 - Fixed Point Growth	241	8/30/2019	256	266	4/28/2020	$\checkmark$				Meets/Exceeds (%)
Berry, Isabele	05	Tier 2 - Fixed Point Growth	201	8/30/2019	216	199	4/28/2020		Exclude due to attendar	ce	Meets/	86
Carroll, Jase	05	Tier 1 - Fixed Percentage Growth	212	8/30/2019	233.2	235	4/28/2020	~			Exceed 90-100	is (%) Rating 5 (Most Effective)
Cunningham, Wesley	05	Tier 4 - Halfway to 100	218	8/30/2019	259	260	4/28/2020	~			80-89	4 (Above Average) 3 (Average)
Duncan, Orenda	05	Tier 4 - Halfway to 100	228	8/30/2019	264	275	4/28/2020	~			60-69	2 (Approaching Avera
Hart, Tori		Tier 1 - Fixed Percentage Growth	178	8/30/2019	195.8	201	4/28/2020	$\sim$	~~~~~	~~~~~	0-59	1 (Least Effective)
drop-dov	vn list,	select <b>Reportec</b>	I.									
General Students / Scorin	ng Tiers								5. Teacher - L	anguage/Arts - Scored	(Johnson, Joh Status: Score	
					Status		Grade Level(s)	0				
		Area & Course(s) Subject age Arts Readii	ng	~	Scored							
Teacher - Language/Arts - S			ng		Scored Reported					_	_	_
ame . Teacher - Language/Arts - S aseline and Trend Data () Students have historically per	Sco	ige Arts Reading		5	Scored Reported Devision Decreted	etween 75 and		ing a 3 or bette	er on the exam for the past th	ree vears. Trend data ar	e included belov	Ţ
. Teacher - Language/Arts - S aseline and Trend Data 🚯	Sco Langua	the AP Literature and Composition e		5	Scored Reported Devision Decreted	etween 75 and		ing a 3 or bette	er on the exam for the past th	ree years. Trend data ar	e included belov	Ţ
. Teacher - Language/Arts - S aseline and Trend Data ① Students have historically per 2012 2012 2013	formed well on	the AP Literature and Composition e	exam, with a	a significant percer	Scored Reported Devision Decreted	etween 75 and		ing a 3 or bette	er on the exam for the past th	ree years. Trend data ar	e included belov	, ,
Teacher - Language/Arls - S aseline and Trend Data	formed well on 2013 2014 2 2000 Control of 2015 Control of 2016 Control of 2017 Control of 2017 Control of 2018 Control of 2018 Control of 2019 Control of 201	the AP Literature and Composition e	exam, with a	a significant percei	Reported Design Design Itage of students (br	~~~~	1 90 percent) scori	~~~	~~~~~	~~~~~	~~~~	,
Teacher - Language/Arls - S aseline and Trend Data	formed well on 2013 2014 2 2000 Control of 2015 Control of 2016 Control of 2017 Control of 2017 Control of 2018 Control of 2018 Control of 2019 Control of 201	Ithe AP Liferature and Composition e	exam, with a	a significant percei	Reported Design Design Itage of students (br	~~~~	1 90 percent) scori	~~~	~~~~~	~~~~~	~~~~	, ,
Teacher - Language/Arls - S aseline and Trend Data	formed well on 2013 2014 2 2000 Control of 2015 Control of 2016 Control of 2017 Control of 2017 Control of 2018 Control of 2018 Control of 2019 Control of 201	Ithe AP Liferature and Composition e	exam, with a	a significant percei	Reported Design Design Itage of students (br	~~~~	1 90 percent) scori	~~~	~~~~~	~~~~~	~~~~	,
Teacher - Language/Arls - S aseline and Trend Data	formed well on 2013 2014 2 2000 Control of 2015 Control of 2016 Control of 2017 Control of 2017 Control of 2018 Control of 2018 Control of 2019 Control of 201	Ithe AP Liferature and Composition e	exam, with a	a significant percei	Reported Design Design Itage of students (br	~~~~	1 90 percent) scori	~~~	~~~~~	~~~~~	~~~~	, ,
Teacher - Language/Arls - S aseline and Trend Data	formed well on 2013 2014 2 2000 Control of 2015 Control of 2016 Control of 2017 Control of 2017 Control of 2018 Control of 2018 Control of 2019 Control of 201	Ithe AP Liferature and Composition e	exam, with a	a significant percei	Reported Design Design Itage of students (br	~~~~	1 90 percent) scori	~~~	~~~~~	~~~~~	~~~~	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Teacher - Language/Arls - S aseline and Trend Data	formed well on 2013 2014 2 2000 Control of 2015 Control of 2016 Control of 2017 Control of 2017 Control of 2018 Control of 2018 Control of 2019 Control of 201	Ithe AP Liferature and Composition e	exam, with a	a significant percei	Reported Design Design Itage of students (br	~~~~	1 90 percent) scori	~~~	~~~~~	~~~~~	~~~~	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Teacher - Languagei Arls - S aseline and Trend Data () students have historically per 2012 2012 2013 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	formed well on 2013 2014 2 2000 Control of 2015 Control of 2016 Control of 2017 Control of 2017 Control of 2018 Control of 2019 Control of 201	Ithe AP Liferature and Composition e	exam, with a	a significant percei	Reported Design Design Itage of students (br	~~~~	1 90 percent) scori	~~~	~~~~~	~~~~~	~~~~	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Teacher - Languagei Arls - S aseline and Trend Data () students have historically per 2012 2012 2013 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	formed well on 2013 2014 2 2000 Control of 2015 Control of 2016 Control of 2017 Control of 2017 Control of 2018 Control of 2019 Control of 201	Ithe AP Liferature and Composition e	exam, with a	a significant percei	Reported Design Design Itage of students (br	~~~~	1 90 percent) scori	~~~	~~~~~	~~~~~	~~~~	,
Teacher - Languagei Arls - S aseline and Trend Data () students have historically per 2012 2012 2013 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	formed well on 2013 2014 2 2000 Control of 2015 Control of 2016 Control of 2017 Control of 2017 Control of 2018 Control of 2019 Control of 201	Ithe AP Liferature and Composition e	exam, with a	a significant percei	Reported Design Design Itage of students (br	~~~~	1 90 percent) scori	~~~	~~~~~	~~~~~	~~~~	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Teacher - Languagei Arls - S aseline and Trend Data () students have historically per 2012 2012 2013 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	formed well on 2013 2014 2 2000 Control of 2015 Control of 2016 Control of 2017 Control of 2017 Control of 2018 Control of 2019 Control of 201	Ithe AP Liferature and Composition e	exam, with a	a significant percei	Reported Design Design Itage of students (br	~~~~	1 90 percent) scori	~~~	~~~~~	~~~~~	~~~~	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Teacher - Languagei Arls - S aseline and Trend Data () students have historically per 2012 2012 2013 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	formed well on 2013 2014 2 2000 Control of 2015 Control of 2016 Control of 2017 Control of 2017 Control of 2018 Control of 2019 Control of 201	Ithe AP Liferature and Composition e	exam, with a	a significant percei	Reported Design Design Itage of students (br	~~~~	1 90 percent) scori	~~~	~~~~~	~~~~~	~~~~	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Teacher - Languagei Arts - S aseline and Trend Data () students have historically per 2012 2012 2013 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	formed well on 2013 2014 2 2000 Control of 2015 Control of 2016 Control of 2017 Control of 2017 Control of 2018 Control of 2019 Control of 201	Ithe AP Liferature and Composition e	exam, with a	a significant percei	Reported Design Design Itage of students (br	~~~~	1 90 percent) scori	~~~	~~~~~	~~~~~	~~~~	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Teacher - Language/Arts - S seline and Trend Data () tudents have historically per 2012 2012 2013 0 At the boo	formed well on 2013 2014 2 2000 Control of 2015 Control of 2016 Control of 2017 Control of 2017 Control of 2018 Control of 2019 Control of 201	Ithe AP Liferature and Composition e	exam, with a	a significant percei	Reported Design Design Itage of students (br	~~~~	1 90 percent) scori	~~~	~~~~~	~~~~~	~~~~	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,